#### LAB 10 – Bison and Flex

#### John Dempsey

COMP-232 Programming Languages California State University, Channel Islands April 2, 2025 Hard Due Date: April 9, 2025

In this lab, we will be using **flex** to build a lexer via regular expressions and **bison** to parse the sequence of tokens output by said lexer.

The instructions for LAB 10 are found below and files are found on comp232.com in /home/LAB10.

Before you start, you will want to install flex and bison:

- Mac: brew install flex bison
- WSL: in the Ubuntu console, sudo apt-get install -y flex bison

sudo apt-get install -y flex bison will work on Linux distributions in general, if you're not using one of the two above.

# **Part 1: Lexing with Flex**

We will cover a minimal introduction to the use of flex in this lab. This pdf will provide some more detail, and you will likely want to refer to it throughout the lab to fill in the gaps, and particularly to explore the capabilities of flex's regular expressions.

If you are not familiar with regular expressions, or it's been a while and you're rusty, you may want to watch this video first.

Consider this grammar:

```
<program> ::= <statement> | <statement> <program>
<statement> ::= <assignStmt> | <ifStmt> | <whileStmt> | <repeatStmt> | <printStmt>
<assignStmt> ::= <ident> = <expr> ;
<ifStmt> ::= if ( <expr> ) <statement>
<whileStmt> ::= while ( <expr> ) <statement>
<repeatStmt> ::= repeat ( <expr> ) <statement>
<printStmt> ::= print <expr> ;
<expr> ::= <term> | <term> <addop> <expr>
<term> ::= <factor> | <factor> <multop> <term>
```

```
<factor> ::= <ident> | <number> | <addop> <factor> | ( <expr> )
<number> ::= <int> | <float>
<int> ::= <digit> | <int> <digit>
<float> ::= <digit> | <int> <digit>
<float> | <float> <digit>
<ident> ::= <letter> | <ident> <letter> | <ident> <digit>
<addop> ::= + | -
<multop> ::= * | / | %
<digit> ::= 0-9
<letter> ::= a-z | A-Z | _ | $
```

Enumerate all of the token types in this grammar in the TOKEN enum in flex.h. In flex.c, fill out the tokenTypeStrings array in the same order as the TOKEN enum. This way, the elements of the TOKEN enum can be used to index the tokenTypeStrings array and get the corresponding string for printing purposes. This works because enum elements are really just named integers, starting at 0 by default and progressing upward in the order they appear in the enum.

Once you've enumerated all of the TOKEN types, you're ready to begin working on the flex.1. This file is essentially a configuration file, which flex will use to generate a scanner.

flex.1 is divided into 3 sections. These sections are separated by lines containing %%. The first section is for **definitions**, the second for **rules**, and the third for **subroutines**. Your task is to complete the first and second sections (the third section will be left empty).

#### **The Definitions Section**

The first section can, in theory, be left empty for what we are doing today, but it should not be. In this section, you can define shortcuts for regular expressions.

Consider these lines in flex.1:

letter	[a-z]
digit	[0-9]

The two lines above define letter to be a shorthand for the regular expression [a-z] and digit one for [0-9].

Next, consider these lines:

float {digit}+\.{digit}\*
ident {letter}+

The two lines above define float to be one or more digits, followed by a period and then 0 or more digits, and define ident to be 1 or more letters.

Note that when the digit and letter definitions were used in the float and ident definitions, they were encased in curly braces {}; this is how definitions are referenced. The letter andident definitions do not match the grammar, and will therefore need to be rewritten. Moreover, regular expressions cannot be recursively defined in flex (or in any regular expression implementation that I've seen), so you will have to solve the ident production's recurrence to determine what sorts of strings an ident can be made out of.

# **The Rules Section**

The second section (after the first %%) is for tokenization rules. Each line consists of a regular expression (or a definition from the first section) followed by a block (written in C) specifying what action is to be taken when a string is encountered which matches said regular expression. The goal in each block is to return the correct token type (and do anything else that needs doing, but for this lab we will just be returning token types). flex.h has been included at the top of the definitions section, so the blocks of C code in the rules section can reference anything accessible to flex.h. This is necessary; the token types being returned are defined in flex.h.

Consider these lines provided in the rules section:

if	{return	<pre>IF_TOKEN;}</pre>
{float}	{return	<pre>FLOAT_TOKEN;}</pre>
{ident}	{return	<pre>IDENT_TOKEN;}</pre>

These lines mean, respectively:

- When the string "if" is encountered, return an IF\_TOKEN.
- When a string matching the float definition is encountered, return a FLOAT\_TOKEN.
- When a string matching the ident definition is encountered, return an IDENT\_TOKEN.

Some of the strings which need to be tokenized (such as parenthesis) have metameaning in regular expressions, and therefore will need to either be escaped or put in quotes in order to function as literal characters in a regular expression. For instance:

This does not work:

Either of these work:

\( {return LPAREN\_TOKEN;}
"(" {return LPAREN\_TOKEN;}

The order in which tokenization rules appear matters. For example, any keyword (print, repeat, etc) will also match the regular expression for an identifier. As such, all of the keyword tokenizations must happen *before* the identifier tokenization, so keywords match their patterns and return the correct token type before they are ever compared to the ident definition.

Three more rules have been provided at the bottom of the rules section (and they should be the last three in the rules section when you are done):

<<EOF>> {return EOF\_TOKEN;} [\n\r\t] ; //skip whitespace . {printf("ERROR: invalid character: >>%s<<\n", yytext);}

These rules are to tokenize the end of file, skip whitespace, and catch any invalid characters, respectively.

# **The Subroutines Section**

We'll talk about this section briefly in a future lab, but for now we're just going to leave it blank. Feel free to read up on it in the provided PDF!

# Output

When you have completed the TOKEN enum in flex.h, the tokenTypeStrings array in flex.c, and definitions and rules sections in flex.l, you are ready to test! There is a provided sample input, input.txt, with the following contents:

```
while (0.4) abc_1_2 = agd + 1;
if (condition) print ("hello") ;
```

For this sample input, the output should be:

```
{<while> "while"}
{<lparen> "(")
{<float> "0.4"}
{<rparen> ")"}
{<ident> "abc_1_2"}
```

```
{<assign> "="}
{<ident> "agd"}
{<addop> "+"}
{<int> "1"}
{<semicolon> ";"}
{<if> "if"}
{<lparen> "("}
{<ident> "condition"}
{<rparen> ")"}
<print> "print"}
{<lparen> "("}
ERROR: invalid character: >>"<<
{<ident> "hello"}
ERROR: invalid character: >>"<<</pre>
{<rparen> ")"}
{<semicolon> ";"}
{<eof> ""}
```

Process finished with exit code 0

You do not need to edit the main to match this output; the only change you need to make to flex.c is to fill out the tokenTypeStrings array.

Each token is printed with both its type and the string value that was tokenized. In some cases this is redundant, and that's fine; we will work on more complex tokenization rules which process the string value or simply ignore it in a later lab.

When you run, a lexer called lexer.c is generated in the src/lexer directory; your flex.l file served as a configuration file specifying how this lexer should function.

# **Submission Checklist**

Your submission should:

- Complete the TOKEN enum in flex.h.
- Complete the tokenTypeStrings array in flex.c such that the token types are named in the same order they are declared in the TOKEN enum.
- Improve input.txt to rigorously test your scanner for the provided grammar (it is completely inadequate as provided; it doesn't even test every token type, let alone stress-test for spacing issues and invalid inputs).
- Include a screenshot of a sample run with your improved input.txt.

# Part 2 - Lexing and Parsing with Flex and Bison

Bison is a tool which allows for the generation of a parser from a configuration file. It is a free equivalent to yacc, a proprietary tool for the same purpose; the bison configuration file's extension will be .y, for "yacc".

As with flex in the last lab, we will cover a minimal introduction to bison here. You will want to refer to this tutorial for a more detailed overview throughout the lab.

In this lab, you will create a lexer using flex and a parser using bison in order to evaluate expressions in **Cambridge-Polish Notation (CPN)**. The results of evaluation will be numeric, and we will house them in the NUMBER struct provided in calc.h; read through it.

# **Cambridge-Polish Notation**

Cambridge-Polish Notation (CPN) is a notation which lists functions and their operands enclosed together in parenthesis, with the operands following the operator. For example, the arithmetic expression 1+2 in CPN would be (+ 1 2) or (add 1 2) (our implementation will use the latter representation).

CPN expressions are **nestable**. That is, a CPN expression can be used as one of the operands in another CPN expression. For example, the expression (sub 3 (add 1 2)) is valid and would evaluate to 0 (note here that sub is subtraction). You will be making a lexer and parser for expressions in CPN from the following grammar:

```
<program> ::= <expr> EOL | QUIT
<expr> ::= <number> | <f_expr>
<f_expr> ::= ( FUNC <expr> ) | ( FUNC <expr> <expr> )
<number> ::= INT | FLOAT
```

The grammar is incomplete; it does not include definitions for the tokens FUNC, INT, FLOAT, QUIT, and EOL. These will be defined as follows:

- FUNC : One of the following strings (function names):
  - o "neg"
  - o "abs"
  - "exp"

- **"log"**
- o "sqrt"
- o "add"
- o "sub"
- o "mult"
- o "div"
- 。 **"rem"**
- INT: an optional + or sign, followed by one or more digits.
- FLOAT: an optional + or -, one or more digits, a period, and 0 or more trailing digits.
- QUIT: the string "quit".
- EOL: the newline character \n

# Lexing with Flex and Bison

#### **Defining Grammar Elements with Bison**

The task of tokenization is a bit more complex than it was in the previous part, because the lexer will interact with the parser.

Open calc.y, the yacc file for this project, and calc.1, the lex file for this project. Like the .1 file, the .y file is divided into three sections by lines containing %%; these three sections are for **definitions**, **rules** and **subroutines** respectively. Before the lexer can be filled out in calc.1, it is necessary to fill out the **definitions** section of calc.y; this section will enumerate the tokens and **types** (the composite syntax tree elements, i.e. those "above the token level"). It will also specify what data types will be used to house the data for said tokens and types.

calc.y's definitions section has the following contents:

```
%{
    #include "calc.h"
    #define ylog(r, p) {fprintf(flex_bison_log_file, "BISON: %s ::= %s \n", #r, #p);
fflush(flex_bison_log_file);}
%}
%union
{
    double dval;
    struct number *nval;
}
```

```
%token <dval> INT FLOAT
%token EOL
```

```
%type <nval> number
```

We will cover a brief description of what these lines mean; more insight can be found <u>here</u> (pdf <u>here</u>).

Let's start with the first part, which isn't really parser-related:

```
%{
    #include "calc.h"
    #define ylog(r, p) {fprintf(flex_bison_log_file, "BISON: %s ::= %s \n", #r, #p);
fflush(flex_bison_log_file);}
%}
```

The two lines above are read directly into the parser that bison generates based on the contents of the yacc file. We already know what #include "calc.h" does; the other line is a preprocessor definition that creates a macro called ylog, which we'll use to log which productions are used during parsing, for debugging purposes. The outputs from this ylog function (and it's lexer equivalent, 1log) will be in the logs folder, in a file called flex\_bison\_log.

Next, we get into the actual parsing configurations:

```
%union
{
    double dval;
    struct number *nval;
}
```

The %union command in bison specifies the data types that semantic values (tokens and types) have. This particular %union denotes that the tokens and types in our grammar will have their data stored in data types double and NUMBER \* (struct number and NUMBER are identical, check the typedef in calc.h).

This union is stored in a variable called yylval for each individual token. If a FLOAT token has data is stored in double form, that data could be accessed through yylval.dval, because dval is the name we've given to the double member of the union.

Then, we have the declarations for tokens:

```
%token <dval> INT FLOAT
%token EOL
```

The following line, %token <dval> INT FLOAT creates two types of tokens, INT and FLOAT, and specifies that their values will be stored in yylval.dval (so, as type double). This is

not a mistake; we'll be storing the numeric values of both INTS and FLOATS in double form, for the sake of simplicity in performing calculations later on.

Next, %token EOL creates one more type of token. It does not specify an enum element in which to store data, because there is no additional data necessary for an EOL token; the fact that it is an EOL ("end of line") token is all that is needed for its use as a delimiter. Finally, there is a definition for an element of the grammar which is above the token level:

%type <nval> number

This line declares the number type, and specifies that the data for any given number will be stored yylval.nval, which we can see in the union is a NUMBER \*. The following tokens are missing; you'll need to add them:

- FUNC
- LPAREN
- RPAREN
- QUIT

Multiple tokens can be included on the same line; the missing tokens which don't require any additional data other than their type can simply be added in with the EOL, just like INT and FLOAT were listed on the same line because they both store double values. Add LPAREN and RPAREN to the data-less tokens, alongside EOL. The FUNC token, however, will require some additional data; we need to know which function it is. You might be tempted to add a char \* to the %union, to store the function names. While this would work, it would be wasteful; we would need to allocate space for the function name, copy into it, free it up later... it would be a hassle.

There is already an enum listing all of the functions in calc.h, called FUNC\_TYPE. Conveniently, a function called resolveFunc, which takes a function name in string form as an input and outputs the corresponding FUNC\_TYPE, is declared in calc.h and defined in calc.c. The lexer can simply call resolveFunc on yytext (the buffer used to temporarily store tokens' string values while they are being processed) to find the correct FUNC\_TYPE value for each FUNC token. (You don't need to this yet, we will do it in the next section).

From here, it seems pertinent to add FUNC\_TYPE fval; to the %union, and declare function tokens with a line like %token <fval> FUNC. Unfortunately, our typedefs from calc.h cannot be accessed calc.y (which is why we use struct number \*nval instead of NUMBER \*nval). Recall that enum elements are just named integers! Add int fval; to the %union instead, and the token declaration %token <fval> FUNC will do the trick. This

way, our FUNC tokens will store an integer value, which we will ensure is one of the FUNC\_TYPE elements.

You may notice that we haven't declared the expr or f\_expr syntax tree elements yet; these, like number, are above the token level and will be %types. We will come back to them when we're done lexing.

#### Lexing with Flex

Now that all types of tokens have been declared, we can move on to lexing.

At the top of calc.1, you can see the following, which aren't really lexer-related:

```
%option noyywrap
```

The %option noyywrap specifies that there is only one input file, as opposed to a sequence of input files. That is an oversimplification, but you can comfortably ignore it and nothing will break, or research it further if you're curious.

Then, the two lines in braces are nearly identical to those at the start of calc.y; they include calc.h, and define a macro that we will use for logging lexer actions, for debugging purposes.

Next, we can move on to the meat of the definitions section; part of it has been done for you:

```
digit [0-9]
int [+-]?{digit}+
```

You will need to complete this definitions section, just like in the previous part of this lab. We won't discuss it further here, but you can refer to the previous part for review.

Then, you'll need to complete the **rules** section, denoting what to do when each type of token is encountered. This section will be a little more complex than it was in the last part, as this time we're interacting with the configurations made in the .y file. Rules for INT and EOL tokens have been included, as have rules to skip whitespace and warn of invalid characters.

Let's look first at the EOL rule:

```
[\n] {
    llog(EOL);
    return EOL;
}
```

This rule specifies that when a newline character is encounters, a call to the 11og function should be made, specifying that the EOL tokenization process has started, and then an EOL token should be returned. Because EOL tokens don't require any additional data, nothing else needs to be done here.

Next, consider the INT rule:

```
{int} {
    llog(INT);
    yylval.dval = strtod(yytext, NULL);
    return INT;
}
```

This rule specifies what should be done when a sequence of characters matching the previously defined {int} definition is encountered. It does the following

- logs that the INT tokenization has started.
- converts the matched string value yytext to double with with strtod
- stores the double value in yylval.dval; look back at the %union in calc.y to confirm that dval is the identifier we've given to double values for tokens.
- returns an INT token (to which we've just assigned a double value).

You'll need to complete the definitions and rules sections of calc.l to complete the lexer.

# **Parsing with Bison**

Now that the lexer configurations are set up, we can start on the parser configurations. The first order of business is to add the missing %types. Specifically, we are missing the grammar elements expr and f\_expr. In order to decide what data type we should store these in, it is necessary to clarify that we will not be building the entire syntax tree this time; we will instead be evaluating it from the bottom up, as it is built. Thus, when we "parse" an expr or f\_expr, we won't be connecting it to its children as designated in the grammar; we will instead be evaluating, i.e. using the children's values to determine the value of the parent. TLDR: expr and f\_expr will be NUMBER\*s, just like the number type.

Add expr and f\_expr to the line declaring the number type to complete the definitions section of the .y file.

%type <nval> number expr f\_expr

Much like the flex **rules** section specifies rules to translate sequences of characters into tokens, the bison **rules** section specifies rules to process sequences of grammar elements (tokens and types) using the productions in the grammar (encoded into the y file).

These rules very closely match the grammar itself. Some rules have been provided; you can see the program rules below:

```
program:
    expr EOL {
        ylog(program, expr EOL);
        printNumber(stdout, $1);
    }
    | QUIT {
        ylog(program, QUIT);
        exit(0);
    };
```

The rules above are the embodiment of this set of productions:

<program> ::= <expr> EOL | QUIT

Here, the program is the grammar element being produced, so it must be assigned. The program being produced is refered to with the shorthand \$\$. Furthermore it is being produced as a product of a sequence of grammar elements in the form expr EOL, whose values are referenced with \$1 and \$2 respectively (and if there were a third element comprising the program, its value would be referenced with \$3, and so on...).

We know that the data for an expr is stored in a NUMBER \* becasue that is how the expr type was declared in the definitions section. Note that there **is not a type definition** for a program. The program type serves as an entry point. We're building a CPN calculator, so in this case we just want to print the result of the expr comprising the program.

The block of C code contained within this rule specifies what should action should be taken when a program is produced from an expr followed by an EOL token. In this application, we make a call to printNumber (declared in calc.h and defined in calc.c) and pass in the NUMBER \* value of the expr, so whenever we enter a valid expression the evaluated result of that expression will be printed. Recall: \$1 is the expr from the expr EOL comprising the program, so we pass it into printNumber as \$1.

We also make a call to ylog which, as discussed earlier, simply prints to the flex\_bison\_log file in the logs directory, so we can check which rules were used and in what order for debugging purposes.

The rules for expr creation are also provided, and they serve as a better model for the the ones you'll need to add to complete the grammar:

```
expr:
    number {
        ylog(expr, number);
        $$ = $1;
    }
    | f_expr {
        ylog(expr, f_expr);
        $$ = $1;
    };
```

These rules above match productions in the grammar:

```
<expr> ::= <number> | <f_expr>
```

As seen above, when a grammar element can be produced from several different sequences of elements, these options are separated with | (semantically, "or"). An expr differs from a program in a key way: an expr has a value. In the expr ::= number production, the line \$\$ = \$1; assigns the NUMBER \* value associated with the number element to the expr element being produced; in other words, if an expr is comprised of just a single number, then the expr's value is that of the number. Recall, \$\$ refers to the value of the element being produced (in this case the expr), and \$n refers to the n'th element comprising the production (that is, the n'th element being **reduced**).

You must complete this rules section, by filling out rules for the remaining productions:

```
<f_expr> ::= ( FUNC <expr> ) | ( FUNC <expr> <expr> )
<number> ::= INT | FLOAT
```

An f\_expr consists of parenthesis, a FUNC token and 1-2 exprs whose values serve as the operands for the specified function. A function called calc is declared in calc.h and defined in calc.c:

```
NUMBER *calc(FUNC_TYPE func, NUMBER *op1, NUMBER *op2);
```

This calc function takes as arguments the enum element corresponding to the function being performed and the value(s) of its operand(s) (in NUMBER \* form). Its task is to calculate and return the result. We will cover **how** it should do so <u>later on</u>.

For now, assume that the calc function works (because you'll make it work later). Use it in the  $f_{expr}$  productions to get the value of the  $f_{expr}$  being produced by passing in the function specifier (i.e. the value of the FUNC token) and the value(s) of the operand(s) (i.e. the value(s) of the expr(s)).

For single-operand function calls (those using the production f\_expr ::= ( FUNC expr )), NULL should be passed into the calc call in place of a second operand. In the rules to produce number elements from INT and FLOAT tokens, the createNumber function should be called. It is declared in calc.h and defined in calc.c and has already been completed, but you will need to read its contents in order to determine how to use it!

# **Evaluation**

Once parsing is complete, evaluation of expressions comes down to completion of the functions called from the calc function in calc.c. These functions are all declared, but must be filled out (these are the //TODOS in calc.c).

The definitions of evalNeg and evalAdd have been provided as an example. If you're not sure what one of the functions is supposed to do, refer to the comments in the resolveFunc function near the top of calc.c.

Binary functions with two integer arguments should output an integer. Binary functions in which one or more input is a float should output a float. Some unary functions should always output a float, and others should output a number whose type is the same as that of their input; you should be able to figure out which should behave which way.

# Sample Run

The following is a sample run with no arguments provided in the run configurations, and with the inputs from the provided input.txt typed in one at a time. If you choose instead to include a path to input.txt as the first argument, you will see just the outputs; the inputs (on lines starting with > ) won't be typed in the console, as they'll be read from the file instead.

> 1 INT : 1 > 1.0 FLOAT : 1.000000 > -1 INT : -1 > -1.5 FLOAT : -1.500000 > +1 INT : 1 > +1.50 FLOAT : 1.500000 > 10 INT : 10 > 10.15 FLOAT : 10.150000 > -10.50 FLOAT : -10.500000 > (neg 1) INT : -1 > (neg 1.0) FLOAT : -1.000000 > (abs 1) INT : 1 > (abs -1) INT : 1 > (abs 1.5) FLOAT : 1.500000 > (abs -1.0) FLOAT : 1.000000 > (exp 1) FLOAT : 2.718282 > (exp 1.0) FLOAT : 2.718282 > (exp 0) FLOAT : 1.000000 > (exp 0.) FLOAT : 1.000000 > (log 1) FLOAT : 0.000000 > (log 1.0)

```
FLOAT : 0.000000
> (log 10)
FLOAT : 2.302585
> (log 0)
FLOAT : -inf
> (log -1)
FLOAT : nan
> (sqrt 1)
FLOAT : 1.000000
> (sqrt 1.0)
FLOAT : 1.000000
> (sqrt 0)
FLOAT : 0.000000
> (sqrt 0.0)
FLOAT : 0.000000
> (sqrt 4)
FLOAT : 2.000000
> (sqrt 4.0)
FLOAT : 2.000000
> (sqrt -1)
FLOAT : nan
> (sqrt -1.0)
FLOAT : nan
> (add 1 2)
INT : 3
> (add 1.0 2)
FLOAT : 3.000000
> (add 1 2.0)
FLOAT : 3.000000
> (add 1.0 2.0)
FLOAT : 3.000000
> (sub 2 1)
INT : 1
> (sub 2.0 1)
FLOAT : 1.000000
> (sub 2 1.0)
FLOAT : 1.000000
```

```
> (sub 2.0 1.0)
FLOAT : 1.000000
> (mult 2 3)
INT : 6
> (mult 2 3.0)
FLOAT : 6.000000
> (mult 2.0 3)
FLOAT : 6.000000
> (mult 2.0 3.0)
FLOAT : 6.000000
> (div 1 2)
INT : 0
> (div 1 2.0)
FLOAT : 0.500000
> (div 1.0 2)
FLOAT : 0.500000
> (div 1.0 2.0)
FLOAT : 0.500000
> (div 1 0)
INT : inf
> (div 1.0 0.0)
FLOAT : inf
> (div 0 0)
INT : nan
> (rem 8 3)
INT : 2
> (rem -8 3)
INT : -2
> (rem 8.0 3)
FLOAT : 2.000000
> (rem 8 3.0)
FLOAT : 2.000000
> (rem 8.0 3.0)
FLOAT : 2.000000
> (rem 1 0)
INT : nan
```

```
> (rem 1 0.0)
FLOAT : nan
> (add 1 (add 2 3))
INT : 6
> (add 1 (add 2.0 3))
FLOAT : 6.000000
> (log (exp 1))
FLOAT : 1.000000
> (exp (log 1))
FLOAT : 1.000000
> (add 1 (add 2 (add 3 (add 4 5))))
INT : 15
> quit
Process finished with exit code 0
```

# **Submission Checklist**

You need to:

- Complete the definitions section of calc.y to declare all %tokens and %types to match the grammar and complete the %union as necessary to store their data.
- Complete the definitions and rules sections of calc.l to configure a lexer which populates any necessary data in tokens and returns the correct tokens.
- Complete the rules section of calc.y to evaluate the parse tree from the bottom up.
- Complete the TODOS in calc.c to do the actual function evaluations.

You'll know when you're done, because your sample runs will match mine. You do not need to stress about immaterial differences (such as whether your nans and zeros are positive or negative).